Production Scheduling in a Job Shop Environment with consideration of Transportation Time and Shortest Processing Time Dispatching Criterion
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Abstract: Job-shop manufacturing environment requires planning of schedules for the systems of low-volume having numerous variations. For a job-shop scheduling, ‘k’ number of operations and ‘n’ number of jobs on ‘m’ number of machines processed through an assured objective function to be minimized (makespan). This paper presents a capable genetic algorithm for the job-shop scheduling problems among operating parameters such as random population generation with a population size of 50, operation based chromosome structure, tournament selection as selection scheme, 2-point random crossover with probability 80%, 2-point mutation with probability 20%, elitism, repairing of chromosomes and no. of iteration is 1000. An algorithm is programmed for job shop scheduling problem using MATLAB 2009 a 7.8. The proposed genetic algorithm with certain operating parameters is applied to the two case studies taken from literature. The results also show that genetic algorithm is the best optimization technique for solving the scheduling problems of job shop manufacturing systems evolving shortest processing time and transportation time due to its implications to more practical and integrated problems.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Manufacturing is the spine in the economic pact of a country, as it facilitate together in growing GDP/GNP and make available of services. Productivity influences the enlargement of GDP. Payback from a manufacturing structure can be increased if on-hand possessions (resources) are properly utilized with optimized methods. A fine scheduling system helps to optimize the employ of resources for production. Therefore, the production scheduling is a greatly significant portion of a manufacturing system. The objective of scheduling is to satisfy various production constraints and maximize or minimize a desired objective [1].

In the real world, scheduling problems like the job-shop scheduling problem can be thought of as production process or production scheduling problems. The job shop scheduling problem is one of the most well-known problems in both fields of production management and combinatorial optimization. Job shop scheduling problem is a method of resource allocation for simple-objective or multi-objective optimization scheduling with constraints [1, 2]. The job shop scheduling is generally...
defined as problems with the aim of optimizing one or more scheduling objectives. Most research is in
concerned with the optimization of a single criterion Therefore the multiple criteria analysis is
required. The job shop scheduling is known to be a strong NP-hard problem. Hence the job shop
scheduling included “m” objectives must also be an NP-hard problem. Mathematical programming
approaches for solving multi-objective scheduling problem are computationally intractable for
practical problems [2]. Various objective of the scheduling in the manufacturing system are: (1)
solving interruption on the shop floor like machine overloads, breakdowns and rush orders; (2)
diminution of in-process catalog; (3) growing equipments consumption; (4) minimize the makespan,
tardiness, (tardiness being the lateness of the job if it fails to meet its due-date) and (5) minimizing
mean flow-time (which measures the average response of the schedule to individual demands of jobs
for service). This paper presents the schedule in the form of Gantt chart for the job-shop
manufacturing environment in which shortest processing time and transportation time are considered
[3]. Several researchers have addressed the problem of creating schedule for the job-shop
manufacturing system. The important contributions using Genetic Algorithm approach for job shop
scheduling are discussed below.

Mattfeld and Bierwirth [4] considered job shop scheduling problem with release and due-dates
as well as various tardiness objectives. Genetic Algorithm can be applied almost directly, but come
along with apparent weaknesses. They discussed two mode of dipping a search space, first with
concern of shortest-term decisions prepared at the machine level and second; with long-term decisions
completed at the shop floor stage. Gao et al [5] worked on open job-shop scheduling problem in
which operations can be performed in any order. They used genetic algorithm to solve open job-shop
scheduling problem in order to curtail the makespan. Various operators of genetic algorithm were
rightfully customized in order to preserve achievability. Wang et al [6] projected genetic algorithm
with two stages, (1) locate the fittest parameters, such as population size, crossover and mutation
probabilities, with a fraction of time via the optimal computing budget allocation technique, and (2)
the fittest parameters were used for a further searching operation to find the optimal solution using
genetic algorithm. In the past few decades, Genetic Algorithms had demonstrated considerable
success in providing efficient solutions to many Non-polynomial-hard (NP-hard) optimization
problems.

Haq et al [7] used genetics algorithm for optimal allocation and scheduling of jobs in multiple
processing lines of parallel-line job-shop in order to minimize makespan. Manikas and Chang [8]
proposed shortest processing time (SPT) and earliest due date (EDD) to compute a practicable
schedule swiftly. Defersha, and Chen [2] proposed a mathematical model for a job-shop scheduling
considering sequence-dependent setup time, attached or detached setup time, machine release dates,
and time lag requirements. They implemented a parallel genetic algorithm for parallel computing and
found that it can significantly pick up the computational act of the algorithm.

with a no-wait constraint for makespan performance measure. They stated that the efficiency of an
algorithm with auto-tuning was positioned at the level of an algorithm running in a classical mode
with the best-fit direction-finding parameters. Wannaporn, and Thammano [10] proposed a modified
genetic algorithm which consists of (1) an effective selection method called “fuzzy roulette wheel
selection,” (2) a new crossover operator that uses a hierarchical clustering concept to cluster the
population in each generation, and (3) a new mutation operator that helps in maintaining population
diversity and overcoming premature convergence. The flexible job shop scheduling problem was
for job-shop scheduling with due dates and deadlines for tardiness and earliness penalties. They
exploit an operation-based scheme to signify chromosomes schedules. Chromosomes was processed through a 3-stage decoder from first to end, in which, initially tardiness based on due dates, following deadlines, and lastly reduce earliness based on due dates. Zhang et al [12] noticed on the non-delay schedules and employed genetic algorithm to optimize the combination of dispatching rules. They stated that this method can gladly apply in a dynamic scheduling through simulation.

Demir & İşleyen [13] proposed a procedure to handle the overlapping in operations for flexible job shop scheduling. In this procedure, the sublots were relocating from one machine to the subsequent for processing with no waiting for the whole group to be processed at the predecessor machine. They followed two phases, viz: (1) a mathematical model was developed and compared to other model for computational efficiency, (2) a genetic algorithm was used in order to solve the problem and it was tested on standard problems available in literature of different sizes. They concluded that the developed algorithm performed superior as compare to other well-known methods. Amirghasemi & Zamani [14] they used the notion of elite pool and presented asexual genetic algorithm for job shop scheduling. They gently explained the working of mutation operations and elite pooling. They concluded that the victory of the proposed procedure considerably depends on the working mechanism of updating the elite pool. A comprehensive literature on job-shop scheduling optimization has been given by Jain and Meeran [15]. On the basis of literature the problem has been formulated to solve for makespan performance measure for production scheduling of Job-Shop environment with consideration of transportation time and shortest processing time dispatching rule.

II. PROBLEM FORMULATION

Literature review reveals that many researchers have been done into the field of evolutionary computations or meta-heuristic techniques particularly with Genetic Algorithm. No attempts so far have been made with Genetic Algorithm in addition with Transportation Time and Shortest Processing Time as dispatching rule for job-shop scheduling problem. The present job shop configuration is defined as: there is a combination of ‘n’ numbers of jobs given as: J = {J1, J2, J3….. ..Jn} and every job self-possessed of over one operation (O) that have to be processed on a combinations of ‘m’ number of machines, given as M = {M1, M2, M3……Mm}. Each action (operation) resides in one of the machines for a predetermined period of time. In the present job shop manufacturing system following are the assumptions: (1) a machine can process only one job at a instance (2) processing time of each operation in a particular machine is definite and defined (3) release time of the products are indeterminate (4) there is no set up time and cost (5) each job contains unchanging number of operations (6) each job can visit each machine more than one time (7) no machine can stop the progress of a job and start another before finishing the earlier job (8) each and every machine has completely capable.

In order to simplify the problem, the following notations are used.

\[ j= \text{job (j=1, 2, 3 \ldots \ldots n)} \]
\[ i= \text{machine (i=1, 2, 3 \ldots \ldots m)} \]
\[ Tt= \text{transportation time} \]
\[ Pt= \text{processing time} \]

In this type of environment, where, the products are made to order, the job-shop scheduling can be described as follow:
a) Job batch: \( J = \{J_1, J_2, J_3, \ldots, J_j\} \mid j = 1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots, n \)

b) Machine combination: \( M = \{M_1, M_2, M_3, \ldots, M_i\} \mid i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots, m \)

c) Operations Numbering: \( \{O_1, O_2, O_3, \ldots, O_o\} \mid o = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, k \)

d) Processing time for each operation:
\[
(P_{ij}) = \{P_{11}, P_{12}, P_{13}, \ldots, P_{ij}\} \mid i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots, n ; j = 1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots, m
\]

e) Transportation time:
\[
(T_{tij}) = \{T_{t11}, T_{t12}, T_{t13}, \ldots, T_{tij}\} \mid i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots, n ; j = 1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots, m
\]

III. ADOPTED METHODOLOGY

In this paper, Genetic Algorithm is used to optimize the job-shop scheduling problem with shortest processing time dispatching rule and transportation time. Primarily, the configuration of job-shop is finalized by defining the number of machines available and the number of jobs can be processed. In the present work, low-volume job shop configuration is considered. Once the low-volume job-shop environment is developed the different input such as processing time of each operation for each stage as well as the transportation time for each stage is entered in the system. At this stage, the optimization tool is called to generate the optimal schedule. In the present work, Genetic Algorithm is used as a optimization tool. It contains various operations that works in predefined steps such as generation of initial population, selection scheme, crossover and mutation operations etc. Figure 1 presents the flow chart of adopted methodology.

In the present work, job-shop manufacturing environment is scheduled by considering the shortest processing time and transportation time. Genetic Algorithm approach is applied for the optimization of adopted methodology. There are two case studies considered in order to optimize the makespan performance measure. The algorithm is developed using MATLAB programming tool and codes are run for both case studies with their operating parameters which give the value of makespan. The operating parameters used in the present work for solving the job shop scheduling are given below in table 1.

A. Case Study 1

In this case study, it is taken from Singh et al [16]. The numbers of machine (m) are four and the numbers of job are 6. This configuration is optimized for makespan performance measure considering adopted methodology in which shortest processing time as dispatching rule and transportation time are considered. The present case study is solved by using operating parameters as given in table 1.

The value of makespan for case study 1 is shown by Gantt chart in figure 2 and convergence curve of fitness function in figure 3. It shows that addition of transportation time in shortest processing time increases the value of makespan.
Figure 1: Flow chart of adopted methodology using genetic algorithm.

Table 1: Operating parameters and their values used in present work

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population Size</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection Scheme</td>
<td>Tournament selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossover</td>
<td>2-Point Random (Pc = 0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutation</td>
<td>2-Point Random (Pm = 0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Termination Criteria (Number of Iteration)</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
B. Case Study 2

This case study is taken from Omar et al. (2006). The numbers of machine (m) and the numbers of job are 5. This configuration is optimized for makespan performance measure considering adopted
methodology in which shortest processing time as dispatching rule and transportation time. The present case study is solved by using operating parameters as given in table 1.

The value of makespan for case study 1 is shown by Gantt chart in figure 4 and convergence curve of fitness function in figure 5. It shows that addition of transportation time in shortest processing time increases the value of makespan.

![Figure 4: Gantt chart of Case Study 2](image)

![Figure 5: Convergence Curve of Fitness Function of Case Study 2](image)

The table 2 illustrates the values of the makespan and size of job-shops considered in present case studies from literature.
Table 2: Details of Case Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Study No.</th>
<th>Authored By</th>
<th>Size (m×n)</th>
<th>Makespan (proposed approach)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Singh et al [16]</td>
<td>4×6</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Omar et al [17]</td>
<td>5×5</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. CONCLUSION

The Genetic Algorithm approach is applied for optimizing the low-volume job-shop scheduling problem considering shortest processing time and transportation time for makespan performance measure. It shows that Genetic Algorithm approach is able to obtain the optimum or a useful near optimum value of makespan. The case studies reveal that the transportation time is an important factor to be considered during the optimization of low-volume job-shop scheduling. The addition of transportation time in shortest processing time increases the value of makespan. Therefore, it cannot be neglected. The present work can be extended by considering the following points: (1) varying maintenance time and setup time can be considered to produce more accurate schedules (2) breakdown can also be taken into account that effects the delivery commitments to customers (3) the proposed algorithm can also be applied to more practical and integrated problems.
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